

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	No. 20-03016-01-CR-S-MDH
)	
CHRISTOPHER M. IAVOLO,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

Now pending before the Court is Defendant Iavolo's *pro se* motion for emergency bond, (doc. 43), filed without the assistance of his attorney. Not only has the Court previously ruled on this same request for relief (doc. 42), the Court ordinarily does not consider *pro se* motions filed by represented parties. See *United States v. Pate*, 754 F.3d 550, 553 (8th Cir. 2014) (the "district court has no obligation to entertain *pro se* motions filed by a represented party"); see also *United States v. Thompson*, 2018 WL 3398164, at *1 (W.D. Mo. July 12, 2018). Further, on February 25, 2020, the Court entered a Scheduling and Trial Order, specifically instructing that *pro se* filings will not be accepted by defendants who are represented by counsel. (Doc. 12, § VI). Because the Court has appointed counsel in this matter, the motion is **DENIED without prejudice**. Any request of the Court must be raised by and through Defendant's attorney.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ David P. Rush
DAVID P. RUSH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATE: April 29, 2020